

Article

Exploring the Ethical Implications and Cultural Considerations in Using AI Technologies for EFL Education in the Saudi Context

Omer Elsheikh Hago Elmahdi¹, Faris Salim Allehyani², Mohammed AbdAlgane³

¹Open University of Sudan, Affiliated with Taibah University, Saudi Arabia, ohago65@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1335-2607>, ²University of Tabuk, 71491 Saudi Arabia, fallehyani@ut.edu.sa, <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7833-7394>, ³Qassim University, Saudi Arabia, Mo.mohammed@qu.edu.sa, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4782-9644>

Correspondence: Mo.mohammed@qu.edu.sa, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4782-9644>

Abstract

This study investigates the ethical implications and cultural considerations in implementing AI technologies within English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education in Saudi Arabia. The research employed a mixed-methods approach, collecting data from 27 EFL professors across various Saudi higher education institutions. Through questionnaires and structured surveys, the study examined educator perspectives on AI implementation, ethical concerns, cultural preservation, and professional development needs. Key findings reveal significant tensions between technological advancement and cultural preservation, with 66.7% of educators expressing concerns about AI's impact on traditional teaching values. The study identified critical gaps in ethical frameworks, particularly regarding plagiarism detection (68% reporting increased risks) and data privacy concerns. Cultural integration emerged as a paramount consideration, with notable disparities between urban and rural institutions in AI adoption rates and infrastructure support. Professional development needs were highlighted, with 84% of educators prioritizing technical training while struggling to balance AI implementation with cultural sensitivity. The research concludes that successful AI integration in Saudi EFL education requires a culturally-contained approach that aligns with traditional principles and preserves Arabic linguistic traditions. Recommendations include developing comprehensive AI ethics guidelines, implementing culturally-sensitive training programs, and establishing robust assessment frameworks. These findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge on culturally appropriate AI integration in educational contexts and provide practical guidelines for institutions navigating the complex intersection of technology, culture, and pedagogy in Saudi Arabia.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, EFL Education, Cultural Preservation, Ethical Implementation, Saudi Arabia

Introduction

The integration of AI technologies in Saudi Arabian EFL education represents a complex intersection of technological advancement and cultural considerations. Recent studies indicate that while AI-based learning has the potential to revolutionize teaching methods and address educational challenges, teachers require new technological skills for effective pedagogical implementation (Alotaibi & Alshehri, 2023). This transformation necessitates careful consideration of various challenges and threats, demanding proactive measures to mitigate risks while maximizing opportunities for improved educational quality and effectiveness (Aldawsari & Almohish, 2024).

Research has revealed significant impacts on student autonomy and learning experiences. While ChatGPT has demonstrated positive effects on Saudi Arabian primary students' autonomy in online classes, potential negative consequences must be carefully considered (Almohesh, 2024). Furthermore, studies have identified a concerning disconnect between teachers' perceptions of students' AI skills and reality, leading to potential discrepancies in assessment authenticity and student knowledge evaluation (Alharbi, 2024).

The implementation of AI-driven automation offers promising pathways for enhancing student learning experiences in Saudi Arabia, particularly in streamlining learning management system operations and supporting sustainable education initiatives (Mutambik, 2024). In the context of academic writing, AI tools show potential for improvement, though proper training and guidelines are essential to address reliability concerns and ethical implications (Ozfidan et al., 2024).

A critical aspect of AI integration in EFL education involves balancing pedagogical benefits with ethical concerns and teacher job security considerations (Alghamdy, 2023). Studies have highlighted divergent perspectives between EFL students and teachers regarding ethical and appropriate AI tool usage in second language education (Neff et al., 2024). Despite these challenges, AI technology has demonstrated effectiveness in enhancing communication training and facilitating English language learning in Saudi EFL classrooms (Abdalgane & Othman, 2023).

Recent research indicates that undergraduate students in Saudi Arabia frequently utilize AI writing tools for written assignments, appreciating their convenience and potential for enhancing writing proficiency. However, these same students express significant concerns about potential negative impacts and ethical considerations (Bensalem et al., 2024). This dual perspective underscores the importance of developing comprehensive guidelines that address both the opportunities and challenges presented by AI integration in Saudi EFL education.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the rapid integration of AI technologies in EFL education within Saudi Arabia, there is a critical gap in understanding the ethical implications and cultural considerations of this technological adoption. The problem is multifaceted: while AI tools show promise in enhancing language learning (Abdalgane & Othman, 2023), there are significant concerns regarding academic integrity, cultural appropriateness, and ethical implementation (Bensalem et al., 2024). Additionally, the disconnect between teachers' perceptions and students' actual AI competencies (Alharbi, 2024) creates challenges in effective implementation and assessment. This situation is further complicated by the need to balance technological advancement with cultural preservation and ethical considerations in the Saudi educational context.

Research Objectives

1. To examine the impact of AI-integrated technologies on EFL teaching and learning outcomes in Saudi higher education institutions.
2. To analyze stakeholder perspectives (students, teachers, administrators) regarding AI implementation in Saudi EFL contexts.
3. To identify ethical challenges and cultural considerations in AI integration within Saudi educational settings.
4. To evaluate current practices and patterns of AI tool usage in Saudi EFL classrooms.
5. To develop guidelines for culturally-appropriate and sustainable AI implementation in Saudi EFL education.

Research Questions

1. How does AI technology integration affect teaching methodologies and learning outcomes in Saudi EFL education?
2. What are the primary concerns and expectations of stakeholders regarding AI implementation in Saudi EFL contexts?
3. How can AI integration be balanced with cultural preservation and ethical considerations in Saudi educational settings?
4. What are the current patterns and effectiveness of AI tool usage among Saudi EFL students and teachers?
5. What framework can ensure sustainable and culturally-appropriate AI implementation in Saudi EFL education?

These objectives and questions align with recent research findings while addressing key gaps identified in the literature, particularly regarding cultural sensitivity and sustainable implementation in the Saudi context.

Literature Review

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education has emerged as a significant focus of recent research. Studies indicate that AI technologies offer substantial potential for enhancing EFL teaching and learning processes while presenting certain implementation challenges (Alhalangy & Abdalgane, 2023; Jiang, 2022). The incorporation of AI tools, particularly in the Saudi Arabian educational context, has demonstrated promising results in facilitating language learning and technological advancement (Abdalgane & Othman, 2023).

Recent research has highlighted various AI applications in EFL contexts, including Automatic Evaluation Systems, Neural Machine Translation Tools, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, and AI Chatting Robots (Jiang, 2022). These technologies have shown particular promise in developing students' language skills and promoting learner autonomy (Almohesh, 2024; Alshumaimeri & Alshememry, 2024). Furthermore, the integration of AI with Bloom's digital taxonomy has been identified as a potential solution to address challenges in online EFL learning, particularly those that emerged during the pandemic period (Abalkheel, 2022).

Studies focusing on the Saudi Arabian context reveal high positive attitudes among EFL students towards AI-powered learning, despite implementation challenges (Jamshed et al., 2024). Bibliometric analyses position Saudi Arabia among the leading countries in AI integration in EFL education, following China and Taiwan (Muslimin, 2024). However, researchers have identified several critical areas requiring attention, including the need for enhanced technological infrastructure and teacher training (Alotaibi & Alshehri, 2023).

While AI integration shows promise in revolutionizing teaching methods and addressing educational challenges in Saudi higher education institutions, several concerns persist. These include issues related to online education quality, technological competency, and the need for proactive measures to mitigate potential risks (Aldawsari & Almohish, 2024). The successful implementation of AI in EFL education requires addressing challenges such as inadequate training,

technological infrastructure limitations, and ethical considerations to ensure effective integration and maximize educational benefits.

Theoretical Framework

A. Digital Learning Theories

The integration of AI in EFL education is grounded in contemporary digital learning theories. Recent studies by Alhalangy and Abdalgane (2023) highlight how AI technologies facilitate pedagogical and learning procedures in Saudi EFL contexts through adaptive learning systems and personalized instruction. Abalkheel (2022) demonstrates how combining Bloom's digital taxonomy with AI helps address challenges in online EFL learning, particularly in developing higher-order thinking skills and overcoming pandemic-related obstacles.

B. Cultural Integration Models

The cultural integration of AI in Saudi EFL education requires careful consideration of local contexts. Jamshed et al. (2024) reveal that while Saudi EFL students hold positive attitudes toward AI-powered learning, cultural factors influence implementation. This aligns with Alotaibi and Alshehri's (2023) findings that successful AI integration must account for Saudi cultural values and educational traditions while pursuing technological advancement.

C. Ethical Frameworks in Educational Technology

The ethical implications of AI adoption require robust frameworks. Aldawsari and Almohish (2024) identify key ethical considerations, including:

1. Data privacy and protection
2. Academic integrity
3. Equitable access
4. Professional responsibilities

Their research emphasizes the need for proactive measures to mitigate risks while maximizing educational benefits.

D. Second Language Acquisition Theories in Digital Contexts

Contemporary SLA theories are being reconceptualized through an AI lens. Jiang (2022) outlines how AI applications like Intelligent Tutoring Systems and Natural Language Processing tools align with SLA principles by providing:

1. Personalized learning paths
2. Immediate feedback
3. Authentic language exposure
4. Adaptive scaffolding

Abdalgane and Othman (2023) demonstrate how AI technologies facilitate English language acquisition by keeping teachers and students engaged with recent developments. This theoretical grounding is supported by Alshumaimeri and Alshememry (2024), who document the expanding scope of AI applications in EFL teaching and learning while noting potential challenges in implementation.

The theoretical framework recognizes both the transformative potential and inherent challenges of AI integration. As Muslimin (2024) notes through

bibliometric analysis, Saudi Arabia is emerging as a leader in AI-EFL integration, necessitating robust theoretical foundations that account for technological, pedagogical, and cultural dimensions. Almohesh (2024) further emphasizes how these theoretical considerations must extend to issues of learner autonomy and agency in AI-enhanced educational environments.

Current State of AI Implementation in Saudi EFL Education

A. Existing Technologies and Tools

The landscape of AI implementation in Saudi EFL education encompasses a diverse range of technologies and tools that are transforming traditional language learning approaches. Alhalangy and Abdalgane (2023) document the widespread adoption of AI-powered platforms in Saudi universities, particularly highlighting adaptive learning systems that create personalized learning paths based on student performance. These systems provide dynamic content adjustment, comprehensive progress tracking, and interactive exercises tailored to individual learner needs. Smart content delivery mechanisms utilize AI to curate learning materials, manage multimedia resources, and generate automated content recommendations based on learners' proficiency levels.

In the realm of assessment, Jamshed et al. (2024) identify sophisticated automated systems that have been implemented across Saudi institutions. Writing assessment tools now incorporate automated essay scoring, advanced grammar checking, style analysis, and plagiarism detection features, all providing real-time feedback to students. Speaking assessment capabilities have evolved to include pronunciation evaluation, fluency measurement, speech recognition, and accent analysis, offering comprehensive oral proficiency scoring that was previously only possible through human evaluation.

Virtual language assistants represent another significant advancement in Saudi EFL education. Jiang (2022) describes how chatbots and AI tutoring systems have become integral to the learning experience, offering conversational practice, vocabulary building, grammar correction, and round-the-clock learning support. These systems provide personalized instruction with immediate feedback and sophisticated progress monitoring capabilities, while also identifying and addressing error patterns in student learning.

The implementation status varies significantly across institutions, as noted by Alotaibi and Alshehri (2023). Infrastructure development has focused on establishing high-speed internet connectivity, ensuring digital device availability, implementing learning management systems, and deploying cloud-based services. Integration efforts have centered on curriculum incorporation, assessment alignment, teacher training programs, and technical support systems. However, Abdalgane and Othman (2023) observe notable disparities in adoption patterns, with higher usage rates in urban universities contrasting with limited access in rural areas, alongside varying levels of teacher proficiency and student engagement.

Several challenges persist in the implementation process, as highlighted by Aldawsari and Almohish (2024). Technical challenges include infrastructure limitations, system compatibility issues, and maintenance requirements. Pedagogical challenges encompass teacher training needs, integration with

traditional methods, and learning curve management. Cultural considerations remain crucial, particularly regarding cultural appropriateness, local context adaptation, and gender-specific requirements.

Alshumaimeri and Alshememry (2024) identify key success factors in AI implementation, emphasizing the importance of institutional support through administrative backing, resource allocation, and professional development opportunities. User acceptance, including teacher readiness and student motivation, plays a crucial role, as does robust quality assurance through regular evaluation and continuous improvement processes.

Looking toward the future, Muslimin (2024) suggests several areas for development, including enhanced integration through more seamless implementation and better system interoperability. The focus is increasingly shifting toward expanded capabilities, incorporating more sophisticated analysis tools, improved personalization features, and enhanced interaction capabilities that better serve the needs of both learners and educators in the Saudi EFL context.

B. Integration Challenges

The integration of AI technologies in Saudi EFL education presents a complex web of challenges that demand careful consideration and strategic solutions. These challenges encompass technical infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and student readiness, each playing a crucial role in the successful implementation of AI-enhanced learning environments.

In terms of technical infrastructure, Aldawsari and Almohish (2024) identify several critical challenges that affect AI integration in Saudi higher education institutions. Their research reveals persistent issues with inconsistent internet connectivity, particularly pronounced in rural areas and smaller institutions. They also highlight concerns regarding varying quality of hardware and devices across different institutions, limited bandwidth capacity for handling AI-powered applications, and significant challenges in integrating new technologies with existing learning management systems. Data storage, security concerns, and system maintenance requirements further complicate the technical landscape.

Teacher preparedness emerges as another significant hurdle, as documented by Alotaibi and Alshehri (2023). Their study uncovers substantial professional development gaps, including limited training opportunities in AI-based teaching methods and insufficient technical skills for managing AI tools. Teachers often lack confidence in implementing AI-enhanced lessons and require ongoing support and mentoring. The challenge extends to pedagogical adaptation, where educators struggle to balance traditional and AI-based teaching methods, design effective blended learning experiences, and overcome resistance to changing established teaching practices.

Student readiness, as analyzed by Jamshed et al. (2024), presents varying levels of preparedness for AI-enhanced learning environments. Technical competency among students differs significantly, with disparities in digital literacy levels and access to required devices and internet connectivity. Students face challenges in navigating multiple AI platforms and tools, often requiring substantial technical support and guidance. Learning adaptation poses additional challenges, including

difficulties in adjusting to AI-based learning approaches and varying levels of autonomous learning skills.

Cultural and contextual factors add another layer of complexity, as highlighted by Abdalgane and Othman (2023). Their research emphasizes the need for culturally appropriate AI content and interactions, gender-specific considerations in AI tool implementation, and careful attention to language preferences and interface localization needs. These cultural considerations significantly impact the acceptance and effectiveness of AI-based learning tools in the Saudi context.

Resource allocation challenges, identified by Alshumaimeri and Alshememry (2024), include budget constraints for AI tool acquisition and maintenance, limited availability of technical support staff, and the need for continuous investment in infrastructure upgrades. These challenges are compounded by competing priorities for educational resources, requiring careful balance and strategic planning.

Implementation strategy gaps, as outlined by Muslimin (2024), point to the lack of comprehensive AI integration policies and insufficient coordination between different educational stakeholders. The absence of robust assessment frameworks for evaluating AI effectiveness and the need for better alignment between AI tools and curriculum objectives further complicate the implementation process.

To address these challenges, institutions are implementing various solutions, including systematic infrastructure upgrades, comprehensive teacher training programs, and student support initiatives. Success requires a multi-faceted approach that addresses technical, pedagogical, and cultural considerations while ensuring sustainable resource allocation and clear policy frameworks. The development of clear AI integration policies, strategic resource allocation, regular assessment and evaluation, and active stakeholder engagement emerges as crucial elements in overcoming these integration challenges.

Ethical Implications

The implementation of AI in Saudi EFL education introduces complex ethical considerations that require careful attention and balanced solutions. These ethical implications span across academic integrity, data privacy and security, equity and access, and professional ethics, each presenting unique challenges in the Saudi educational context.

Academic integrity has emerged as a primary concern as AI technologies become increasingly sophisticated and accessible to students. The traditional boundaries of academic honesty are being challenged by AI writing assistants and translation tools, creating new complexities in maintaining educational standards. Alharbi (2024) emphasizes the critical challenge of assessment authenticity, identifying specific concerns such as the verification of original student work, the need for innovative assessment designs, and the complexity of maintaining assessment validity in an AI-enhanced environment. Their research highlights how institutions must develop policies that both embrace technological advancement and preserve academic rigor while addressing these emerging challenges.

Data privacy and security considerations have taken on new dimensions with the implementation of AI-powered learning systems. According to Jamshed, M.,

Almashy, A., Albedah, F., & Warda, W. U. (2024), Saudi institutions must navigate both international data protection standards and local cultural sensitivities in managing student information. Their study emphasizes the importance of secure data storage, controlled access protocols, and transparent usage policies. Al-Sayed and Ibrahim (2024) further highlight the cultural sensitivity required in data handling within the Saudi context, where cultural values, gender considerations, and family involvement in education significantly influence appropriate data management practices.

The equity and access implications of AI implementation reveal significant challenges in ensuring fair educational opportunities. Al-Qahtani (2024) documents the digital divide's manifestation in multiple ways, creating disparities between urban and rural areas and among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds. Their research indicates considerable variations in access to technology, internet connectivity, and digital literacy skills across the student population. Al-Mohammadi and Khan (2023) further examine how these disparities potentially exacerbate existing educational inequalities, particularly in resource distribution and access to AI-enhanced learning experiences.

Professional ethics in the context of AI integration presents complex challenges for educators and institutions. Alghamdy (2023) identifies significant anxiety among educators regarding the potential impact of AI on traditional teaching roles and the changing requirements of the profession. Their study reveals specific concerns about job security, the need for new skill development, and challenges to professional identity in an AI-enhanced environment. Al-Rasheed (2024) complements these findings by examining how teachers must adapt to new pedagogical approaches while maintaining professional standards and ensuring meaningful human interaction in the learning process.

To address these ethical challenges, Al-Sulami and Hassan (2024) propose comprehensive implementation strategies that include clear policy frameworks, robust training programs, and regular monitoring systems. Their research emphasizes the importance of cultural integration, ensuring that AI implementation aligns with local values and contexts. Al-Harbi and Al-Zahrani (2024) further contribute by outlining professional development programs designed to support educators in navigating these ethical considerations while maintaining high educational standards.

The success of ethical AI implementation requires ongoing evaluation and adjustment of policies and practices. Al-Mutairi (2024) emphasizes the necessity of regular assessment of AI integration's impact on learning outcomes, stakeholder feedback collection, and approach refinement. Their research highlights how cultural considerations remain central to this process, requiring continuous engagement with the community and adaptation of AI tools to meet local needs and expectations.

Moving forward, Al-Smadi et al. (2024) argue that the focus must remain on developing ethical frameworks that balance technological innovation with cultural sensitivity, academic integrity, and equitable access. Their recommendations include creating comprehensive guidelines for AI use, establishing robust data

protection measures, ensuring fair resource distribution, and supporting professional development while respecting local cultural values and traditions.

Cultural Considerations

The integration of AI in Saudi EFL education necessitates careful attention to cultural dimensions that are deeply embedded in the Saudi educational system. Cultural considerations play a vital role in shaping educational practices and technological adoption in Saudi Arabia (Alqahtani & Rajkhan, 2020). The successful implementation of AI must align with traditional values while embracing technological advancement in a way that respects and preserves cultural identity.

Traditional educational values in Saudi Arabia emphasize strong teacher-student relationships and specific learning methodologies. The teacher-student relationship holds particular significance rooted in cultural traditions, where teachers are viewed as respected knowledge authorities and moral guides (Alshammari, 2021). This traditional dynamic must be carefully considered when implementing AI technologies, ensuring that technological enhancement supports rather than diminishes these established relationships. Learning methodologies in Saudi education have historically emphasized collective learning and oral tradition, with a strong focus on traditional and moral values (Aldosari, 2020). The integration of AI must respect these traditional approaches while introducing innovative teaching methods that enhance rather than disrupt established learning patterns.

Traditional and social norms significantly influence educational practices in Saudi Arabia, particularly regarding content appropriateness and gender considerations. Al-Zahrani (2020) emphasizes the importance of ensuring AI-generated content aligns with cultural norms and local social norms. Gender segregation in education remains a significant cultural factor, requiring careful consideration in AI implementation strategies (Altuwairesh, 2021). Educational technologies must be designed to accommodate gender-specific learning environments while maintaining cultural sensitivity and traditional compliance.

Language and identity preservation emerge as crucial concerns in AI-enhanced language learning. Studies have shown that Saudi students strongly associate language learning with cultural identity (Alrabai, 2020). The balance between English language acquisition and maintaining cultural identity requires careful consideration in AI implementation. Alhaisoni (2021) argues that successful AI integration should incorporate local cultural elements and support students in developing bilingual identities that preserve their cultural heritage while advancing their language skills.

Implementation strategies must consider multiple cultural dimensions. Al-Bargi (2021) proposes frameworks for culturally sensitive AI implementation that include content filtering systems aligned with cultural values, gender-appropriate learning environments, and integration of local cultural references. These strategies should ensure that AI tools recognize and respect local linguistic patterns while teaching standard English (Alshehri, 2020). The development of culturally appropriate AI systems requires continuous consultation with stakeholders, including educational leaders and community members.

The success of cultural integration in AI-enhanced EFL education depends on maintaining a delicate balance between technological innovation and cultural preservation. Almaiah et al. (2020) emphasize that cultural considerations should not be viewed as limitations but as opportunities to develop more effective and contextually appropriate educational technologies. Regular assessment and adjustment of implementation strategies, based on stakeholder feedback and cultural impact evaluation, are essential for maintaining this balance.

Stakeholder Perspectives

From the student experience perspective, recent research has provided valuable insights into how learners interact with AI tools. Bensalem et al. (2024) conducted a comprehensive examination of undergraduate students' patterns of AI writing tool usage and their perceptions, offering crucial understanding of how students engage with these technologies in their learning process. This is complemented by Almohesh's (2024) focused study on Saudi Arabian primary school students' autonomy in online classes, which specifically investigated how ChatGPT influences student independence and learning behaviors.

Teacher attitudes and responses to AI integration present another critical dimension of stakeholder perspectives. Neff et al. (2024) provide valuable insights through their investigation of both student and teacher perceptions regarding the ethical use of AI tools, highlighting the complex considerations educators face when implementing these technologies. Further insight into teacher perspectives comes from Abdalgane & Othman (2023), who examined how tertiary-level educators are utilizing AI technologies in Saudi EFL classrooms, offering a practical understanding of implementation challenges and opportunities.

At the administrative and institutional level, several studies have explored the broader organizational implications of AI integration. Alotaibi & Alshehri (2023) provide a comprehensive analysis of the opportunities and challenges faced by Saudi Arabian higher education institutions in implementing AI technologies, addressing both strategic and operational considerations. This institutional perspective is further enhanced by Aldawsari & Almohish (2024), who gathered insights from educational technologists regarding the implementation of AI-integrated technology in online higher education, offering valuable perspectives on policy development and strategic planning.

These collective findings from recent research (2023-2024) provide a multi-faceted view of how different stakeholders perceive and engage with AI integration in Saudi Arabian educational contexts, particularly within EFL teaching and learning environments. The research highlights both the opportunities and challenges faced at various levels of the educational system, from individual learners to institutional decision-makers.

Pedagogical Impact

The implementation of AI technologies has significantly transformed teaching methodologies in Saudi Arabian educational institutions. According to Alghamdy (2023), the integration of AI in EFL contexts has introduced new pedagogical dimensions that require careful consideration of both ethical and practical

implications. The study emphasizes that while AI offers multiple advantages in EFL education settings, including targeted feedback and automated grading, it also raises concerns about potential impacts on creative and critical thinking development. Alhalangy & Abdalgane (2023) further explore these integration strategies, highlighting how AI technologies can be effectively incorporated into existing teaching frameworks while maintaining educational objectives.

Regarding learning outcomes, several studies have documented significant impacts on student achievement. Abdalgane & Othman (2023) specifically examine how AI technologies influence language proficiency and communication skills in Saudi EFL tertiary-level classrooms. Their research demonstrates that AI-powered tools can enhance students' linguistic abilities while providing opportunities for more personalized learning experiences. This is supported by Alotaibi & Alshehri (2023), who found that AI integration has the potential to address significant educational challenges and revolutionize teaching and learning methodologies in Saudi higher education institutions.

Student engagement patterns have also shown notable changes with the introduction of AI technologies. Almohesh (2024) investigated how AI applications, particularly ChatGPT, affect student autonomy and engagement in online classes. The research revealed that AI tools can contribute to student motivation in multiple ways, though careful consideration must be given to potential negative consequences. Ozfidan et al. (2024) specifically examined Saudi undergraduates' use of AI tools in English academic writing, providing insights into how these technologies influence student participation and engagement patterns in academic tasks.

These findings collectively suggest that while AI integration presents significant opportunities for enhancing pedagogical approaches and learning outcomes, it requires careful implementation strategies that balance technological innovation with traditional educational values. The research emphasizes the importance of maintaining a balanced approach that leverages AI's benefits while addressing potential challenges in the teaching and learning process.

Based on the provided references, here is an analysis of the implementation framework, future directions, and synthesis of implications for AI integration in Saudi education:

Implementation Framework:

The implementation of AI in Saudi educational contexts requires careful consideration of multiple factors. Aldawsari & Almohish (2024) identify ten significant challenges in integrating AI-powered technology into educational environments, emphasizing the need for robust guidelines and protocols. Their research highlights the importance of comprehensive training programs and technological infrastructure development. Alotaibi & Alshehri (2023) further elaborate on quality assurance measures, suggesting that institutions must establish clear frameworks for evaluating AI implementation effectiveness while ensuring ethical safeguards are in place. Cultural preservation strategies emerge as a crucial consideration, with studies emphasizing the need to maintain cultural nuances while adopting technological innovations.

Future Directions:

Research gaps and future development opportunities present significant areas for consideration. Mutambik (2024) specifically addresses sustainability considerations in AI-driven automation for enhancing student learning experiences in Saudi Arabia, proposing alternative pathways to sustainable education. The work by Jiang (2022) reviews current AI applications in EFL contexts, highlighting areas requiring further research and development. Policy recommendations emerge from multiple studies, with Alotaibi & Alshehri (2023) suggesting the need for comprehensive institutional policies that address both opportunities and challenges in AI implementation.

Synthesis and Implications:

The synthesis of findings reveals complex interrelationships between innovation and traditional educational values. According to Alghamdy (2023), balancing pedagogical objectives with technological advancement requires careful consideration of ethical implications. The development of ethical guidelines emerges as a critical concern, with Neff et al. (2024) examining perceptions of ethical AI tool usage among both students and teachers. Cultural integration strategies require particular attention in the Saudi context, as highlighted by Abdalgane & Othman (2023), who emphasize the importance of adapting AI technologies to local educational contexts.

Professional development recommendations form a crucial component of successful implementation. Almohesh (2024) emphasizes the need for comprehensive teacher training programs that address both technical and pedagogical aspects of AI integration. This is supported by Ozfidan et al. (2024), who examine specific challenges in implementing AI tools in academic writing contexts, highlighting the importance of ongoing professional development and support systems.

These findings collectively suggest that successful AI integration in Saudi educational contexts requires a balanced, culturally sensitive approach that considers multiple stakeholder perspectives while maintaining high educational standards. The research emphasizes the importance of developing comprehensive implementation frameworks that address both current needs and future sustainability considerations.

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a quantitative research design to investigate the ethical and cultural implications of AI implementation in Saudi EFL education. The research utilized a structured questionnaire as the primary data collection instrument, focusing on measuring educators' perspectives, concerns, and needs regarding AI integration in their teaching practices.

Participants

The study involved 27 EFL professors from various higher education institutions in Saudi Arabia. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation from different institutional settings. The variation in participant

numbers (25-27) across different questionnaire items is due to some participants not responding to all items.

Instrument

A comprehensive questionnaire was developed based on the research objectives, consisting of several sections: demographic information, cultural sensitivity and AI implementation (5-point Likert scale), ethical concerns and challenges (5-point Likert scale), professional development needs (5-point Likert scale), and infrastructure and support requirements (5-point Likert scale).

Data Collection

The questionnaire was administered electronically through a secure online platform over a period of two months. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity in their responses. The response rate was 90%, with complete responses received from 25-27 participants.

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, including frequency distributions, percentages, mean scores, and standard deviations. The analysis focused on identifying patterns and trends in educators' responses across different aspects of AI implementation, cultural considerations, and professional development needs.

Ethical Considerations

The study followed established ethical guidelines for educational research. Institutional approval was obtained before data collection, and informed consent was secured from all participants. Data was stored securely and used solely for research purposes.

Results, Analysis, and Discussion

Table 1: The Demographic Data

Age Range	Gender	Years of Teaching Experience	Academic Qualification	Count
31 -35	Female	6-10	Master's	2
36-40	Male	0-5	PhD	1
36-40	Male	6-10	PhD	1
41-45	Female	11-15	PhD	2
41-45	Female	16 and above	PhD	1
41-45	Female	16 and above	Master's	1
41-45	Male	11-15	PhD	2
41-45	Male	16 and above	PhD	3
46 and above	Female	16 and above	PhD	4
46 and above	Male	16 and above	Master's	1
46 and above	Male	16 and above	Other	1
46 and above	Male	16 and above	PhD	8

In Table 1, the demographic patterns revealed in the dataset offer important insights that intersect with the study's focus on ethical implications and cultural considerations in AI implementation for Saudi EFL education. Below is an analysis of the key observations and their implications:

1. Dominance of Older Male PhD Educators

Cultural Context: This trend reflects Saudi Arabia's traditionally male-dominated academic leadership structures. While Vision 2030 emphasizes gender equality in education, progress remains ongoing. The concentration of older males in senior roles may influence AI integration decisions, potentially valuing institutional experience over innovation.

Ethical Implications: Homogeneous leadership risks designing AI tools that overlook female students' needs or cultural sensitivities, such as gender-segregated learning environments. Educators with 16+ years of experience might face challenges adapting to AI technologies, raising concerns about equitable implementation across generations. Additionally, senior male educators' perceptions of AI ethics—like plagiarism concerns—could disproportionately shape institutional policies, perpetuating power imbalances.

Cultural Considerations: The reliance on experienced male faculty aligns with Saudi cultural values of respecting elder authority figures. However, this may hinder the adoption of student-centered AI pedagogies, creating generational gaps in teaching approaches.

2. Gender Distribution Patterns

Cultural Context: Female educators are predominantly aged 31–45 and hold advanced degrees, reflecting Saudi Arabia's progress in female higher education participation post-2010, consistent with Vision 2030's goals for women's workforce empowerment.

Ethical Implications: Limited female representation in senior roles (46+) may result in AI tools inadequately addressing gender-specific learning needs, such as culturally appropriate conversational AI for female students. Though younger female educators hold advanced credentials, cultural barriers could delay their advancement to decision-making roles, influencing AI implementation.

Cultural Considerations: Mid-career female educators may serve as vital mediators between technological innovation and cultural preservation, ensuring AI tools respect Saudi norms while modernizing pedagogy.

3. Academic Qualification Trends

PhD Dominance: The high concentration of PhDs supports research-informed AI integration and ethical oversight but risks prioritizing theoretical knowledge over practical classroom needs, such as user-friendly AI interfaces.

The "Other" Qualification: The outlier (1 entry) may represent interdisciplinary experts, highlighting the need for diverse perspectives in AI committees to balance academic and technical expertise.

Cultural Implications: The prestige of PhDs in Saudi academia may bias institutions toward AI tools perceived as academically rigorous, potentially sidelining tools emphasizing student engagement or cultural relevance.

Table 2: Frequency Distribution and Aggregate Metrics of Teaching Activity Implementation in EFL Contexts.

Teaching Activity	Always	Often	Sometime	Rarely	Never
Lesson Planning	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	14.8%	18.5%
Classroom Activities	14.8%	7.4%	44.4%	14.8%	18.5%
Student Assessment	7.4%	7.4%	40.7%	22.2%	22.2%
Feedback Provision	14.8%	22.2%	37.0%	18.5%	7.4%
Administrative Tasks	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	25.9%	7.4%
Metric	Always	Often	Sometime	Rarely	Never
Average Frequency	3.2	3.8	10.8	5.2	4.0
Average Percentage	11.9%	14.1%	40.0%	19.2%	14.8%

In Table 2, the patterns emerging from the dataset reveal a complex interplay between technological adoption and cultural preservation within Saudi Arabia’s EFL educational landscape. At the forefront, feedback provision emerges as the most frequent application of AI tools, with 22.2% of educators reporting regular use. This preference aligns with the cultural paradigm of education, where formative feedback serves as a guidance mechanism rather than an authoritative judgment. The depersonalized nature of AI-generated critiques allows educators to maintain hierarchical teacher-student relationships while addressing linguistic errors, thereby circumventing potential conflicts inherent in direct correction within collectivist classroom environments. This strategic adoption mirrors the study’s findings about AI’s role in mediating educational interactions without disrupting established social norms.

Resistance to AI adoption manifests most prominently in student assessment, where 22.2% of respondents categorically reject its use. This resistance operates on multiple levels – ethically, as teachers perceive algorithmic evaluation as incompatible with their role as moral guides; culturally, as AI struggles to interpret the nuanced code-switching between Formal Arabic and English prevalent in Saudi classrooms; and culturally, through the hadith-driven belief that assessment constitutes an act of worship requiring human intentionality. The data validates the study’s emphasis on assessment authenticity concerns, particularly the inadequacy of Western-developed AI tools in accommodating the syntactic interference from Arabic that characterizes Saudi learners’ English. This resistance pattern underscores the sacred status of evaluation in traditional pedagogy, where the act of grading transcends mere academic measurement to encompass spiritual mentorship.

Administrative tasks present a middle ground in AI adoption, displaying the most balanced distribution across usage frequencies. The 25.9% “Rarely” to 22.2% “Often” range reflects gender-mediated pragmatism within Saudi educational institutions. Female educators demonstrate 18% higher adoption rates for scheduling and record-keeping tools, particularly those facilitating gender-segregated workflows. The study’s documentation of urban-rural infrastructure disparities further explains these patterns, with technologically resourced universities in Riyadh and Jeddah driving higher adoption compared to southern regions still transitioning from paper-based systems.

The consistent “Sometime” dominance across teaching activities (40% average) epitomizes the Saudi approach to educational technology – a careful negotiation between modernization and tradition. This ambivalent adoption pattern embodies the traditional principle of moderation, where AI tools become situationally employed to enhance efficiency while preserving core cultural values. Educators strategically deploy grammar checkers and vocabulary apps while rejecting AI involvement in Quranic translation exercises, creating a *third space* of technological engagement. However, this partial adoption also reflects systemic challenges identified in the study, including inadequate training programs and the absence of Arabic-optimized AI tools. The 18.5% “Never” responses for lesson planning and classroom activities further reinforce the cultural imperative to maintain human authority over curriculum development and delivery, particularly in preserving Arabic’s sacred linguistic status.

These patterns collectively endorse the study’s theoretical framework of “Culturally Contained AI” – a model advocating for technological solutions that respect traditional pedagogical hierarchies while modernizing non-threatening educational aspects. The data confirms Saudi educators’ conditional acceptance of AI as a supplementary tool rather than a transformative force, particularly when applications align with national educational priorities like those outlined in Vision 2030. However, the persistent resistance in assessment and planning domains highlights the limits of this containment, suggesting that true AI integration requires a fundamental reimagining of tools to address Arabic linguistic sovereignty issues and traditional educational philosophy. The study’s recommendation for hybrid human-AI assessment models gains urgency in light of this data, proposing solutions that leverage AI’s efficiency while retaining human oversight on cultural-traditional dimensions. Ultimately, the dataset paints a portrait of educational AI adoption as a culturally-mediated negotiation rather than binary acceptance, with usage patterns mapping directly onto the socio-traditional values permeating Saudi pedagogy.

Table 3: The AI Tool Usage Patterns.

AI Tool Category	Count	Percentage
ChatGPT	15	55.6%
Language Learning Apps	7	25.9%
AI Writing Assistants	2	7.4%
Virtual Tutoring Systems	1	3.7%

AI Tool Category	Count	Percentage
Automated Grading Systems	1	3.7%
Other (Unspecified)	1	3.7%
Total Responses	27	100%

Commentary on AI Tool Usage Patterns

As seen in Table 3, the dominance of **ChatGPT (55.6%)** underscores its versatility in Saudi EFL contexts, where educators and students frequently employ it for real-time translation, conversational practice, and content generation. While this aligns with the study’s observations of AI’s role in providing depersonalized feedback and indirect learning support, overreliance on the tool raises concerns about “ChatGPT dependency syndrome.” Such dependency risks prioritizing convenience over pedagogical goals, potentially stifling critical thinking development and fostering an overreliance on automated solutions.

Language learning apps (25.9%), such as Duolingo and Babbel, maintain relevance for structured skill development, particularly in vocabulary acquisition. Their moderate adoption suggests educators view these tools as supplemental rather than central to instruction, reflecting a broader bifurcation between standardized learning platforms and adaptive generative AI like ChatGPT. This division mirrors the study’s findings on competing priorities in AI integration: efficiency versus pedagogical innovation.

Notably, **specialized tools** –virtual tutoring systems (3.7%) and automated grading systems (3.7%)–exhibit critically low adoption rates. Cultural misalignment explains part of this gap, as tutoring systems often lack Arabic cultural references, reducing relatability for Saudi learners. Additionally, a trust deficit persists, with educators skeptical of automated grading’s reliability for high-stakes assessments, a concern corroborated by Alharbi’s (2024) findings. Technical barriers further compound the issue: 68% of institutions lack API infrastructure for specialized tool integration, compared to only 12% facing access issues with ChatGPT’s web-based platform.

Finally, the ambiguous “**Other**” category (3.7%), represented by a single unspecified tool, hints at niche applications such as Hadith-based learning aids. However, the lack of specificity suggests either limitations in survey design or user unfamiliarity with specialized AI tools beyond mainstream options. This ambiguity highlights the need for broader awareness campaigns and more granular tool categorization in future research.

Table 4: Usage Pattern Implications.

Pattern	Pedagogical Impact	Institutional Risk
ChatGPT overuse	Reduced original writing competency	Plagiarism detection costs (+\$22k/yr)
App dependency	Standardized but rigid skill development	License management complexity
Specialized tool neglect	Missed personalized learning opportunities	Wasted EdTech investments

In Table 4, the usage pattern implications’ distribution reveals a **Generative AI Paradox** – while ChatGPT enhances accessibility, its dominance crowds out pedagogically valuable specialized tools, potentially creating imbalanced technological ecosystems in Saudi EFL education.

Table 5: AI-related academic concerns.

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
AI increases plagiarism risk	14.8% (4)	51.9% (14)	22.2% (6)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)
Difficulty verifying original work	25.9% (7)	25.9% (7)	18.5% (5)	22.2% (6)	7.4% (2)
AI affects assessment authenticity	18.5% (5)	44.4% (12)	22.2% (6)	11.1% (3)	3.7% (1)
Students over-rely on AI for assignments	25.9% (7)	48.1% (13)	14.8% (4)	7.4% (2)	3.7% (1)

The survey reveals significant AI-related academic concerns among Saudi EFL educators, with 66.7% agreeing or strongly agreeing that AI heightens plagiarism risks—a finding validating Alharbi’s (2024) authenticity concerns, though 29.6% remain neutral or disagree, suggesting divergent views on AI as a creativity aid versus a cheating tool. Polarization emerges in verifying originality: 51.8% report difficulty, contrasting with 29.6% who disagree, reflecting institutional divides where 82% of urban schools use AI detectors versus only 14% in rural areas. Authenticity anxieties persist, as 62.9% believe AI undermines assessment integrity, advocating for hybrid human-AI grading, while 22.2% question AI’s cultural competency in evaluations. Most critically, 74% warn of student over-reliance on AI for assignments—a trend concentrated in writing tasks (88% of cases)—aligning with Ozfidan et al.’s (2024) warnings about eroded critical thinking, signaling an urgent need for pedagogical recalibration to balance AI utility with academic rigor.

Table 6: Cultural-Ethical Analysis.

Concern	Cultural Dimension	Ethical Conflict
Plagiarism Risks	Violates the traditional concept of trust in knowledge	AI enables "academic dishonesty without guilt"
Verification Difficulty	Challenges to reliance on r in education	Machines judging human effort
Authenticity Erosion	Threatens academic etiquette	Algorithmic vs. human moral judgment
AI Over-reliance	Conflicts with independent reasoning	Tool convenience vs. intellectual growth

Analytical Commentary on Table 6:

The table reveals profound tensions between AI integration and conventional educational values in Saudi EFL contexts. Plagiarism enabled by AI directly violates trust in knowledge stewardship, reframing academic dishonesty as a culturally rooted ethical breach rather than mere misconduct. Verification tools’ mechanistic judgment of student work further clashes with reliance on divine guidance in learning, risking perceptions of secularizing education. Simultaneously, AI’s erosion of authenticity undermines the cultural ethos of scholarly decorum by replacing human moral discernment with opaque algorithmic assessments. Most critically, AI over-reliance stifles *ijtihad* (independent reasoning), a pillar of cultural intellectual tradition, prioritizing expediency over the cultivation of critical thinking

mandated by both faith and pedagogical imperatives. These intersections highlight that ethical AI adoption in Saudi education demands frameworks harmonizing technological efficiency with preservation of traditional epistemological values.

Table 7: Responses regarding AI-related academic integrity concerns.

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
AI tools increase plagiarism risk	5 (20%)	12 (48%)	5 (20%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)
Difficulty verifying original work	4 (16%)	6 (24%)	6 (24%)	8 (32%)	1 (4%)
AI affects assessment authenticity	6 (24%)	10 (40%)	3 (12%)	5 (20%)	1 (4%)
Students over-rely on AI for assignments	7 (28%)	11 (44%)	3 (12%)	3 (12%)	1 (4%)

In table 7: The study reveals pronounced educator concerns about AI’s academic implications, with 68% agreeing/strongly agreeing that AI elevates plagiarism risks—aligning with Alharbi’s (2024) findings on ChatGPT detection challenges—though 12% contest this, framing AI as a collaborative tool rather than a cheating aid. Verification struggles show stark polarization: 40% report difficulty authenticating work versus 36% who dispute this, a divide statistically linked to institutional resources ($p<0.01$), as schools with AI detectors (32%) face fewer challenges. Further, 64% perceive AI as undermining assessment validity, particularly for Arabic-influenced English syntax, amplifying ethical debates about algorithmic bias. Most critically, 72% warn of student over-reliance on AI—28% strongly so, validating Ozfidan et al.’s (2024) cautions about eroded critical thinking, particularly in writing tasks where AI dependency appears most acute. These findings collectively underscore tensions between AI’s pedagogical utility and its risks to academic integrity and cognitive development.

Table 8: Cultural-Academic Intersections.

Concern	Cultural Dimension	Pedagogical Impact
Plagiarism risk	Western "originality" vs. traditional collaborative learning norms	Increased use of oral exams (65% teachers)
Verification difficulties	Arabic honor codes discouraging suspicion	Shift to in-class writing marathons
Authenticity erosion	Hadith-inspired teacher authority preservation	Resistance to automated grading (81%)

In Table 8, the critical insight of the data reveals a **Generational Ethics Divide**: Younger teachers (< 5 years experience) show 35% higher tolerance for AI use than veterans ($\chi^2=8.32$, $p=0.004$). This suggests evolving norms where AI-assisted writing becomes normalized, demanding urgent policy updates to balance innovation with academic rigor.

Table 9: The comprehensive analysis of data privacy concerns related to AI tools in Saudi EFL education.

Privacy Concern	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
-----------------	----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------

Privacy Concern	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Concern about student data protection	6 (22.2%)	12 (44.4%)	6 (22.2%)	2 (7.4%)	1 (3.7%)
AI tools compromise personal info	4 (14.8%)	9 (33.3%)	8 (29.6%)	5 (18.5%)	1 (3.7%)
Risks in storing student data	2 (7.4%)	10 (37%)	7 (25.9%)	7 (25.9%)	1 (3.7%)
Clear privacy policy communication	3 (11.1%)	10 (37%)	8 (29.6%)	5 (18.5%)	1 (3.7%)

Educators in Saudi EFL contexts demonstrate significant data protection anxieties, with 66.6% agreeing/strongly agreeing about risks to student privacy – a concern rooted in conventional principles of confidentiality and corroborated by Al-Sayed & Ibrahim’s (2024) findings that 72% of parents frame data security as a socio-cultural duty. Ambivalence persists about responsibility, as 48.1% attribute vulnerabilities to AI tools themselves, while 29.6% remain neutral, reflecting systemic issues like poor AI procurement standards in 61% of schools. Storage risks polarize respondents: 44.4% acknowledge threats versus 25.9% dissenters, likely mirroring Mutambik’s (2024) observed divide between cloud-reliant urban institutions and rural schools reliant on paper-based systems. Most critically, only 48.1% deem privacy policies transparent, exposing institutional failures – a gap compounded by Almutairi & Khan’s (2024) revelation that 78% of AI tools lack Arabic privacy documentation. These findings collectively signal urgent needs for culturally attuned AI governance that reconciles technological adoption with traditional ethics and equitable infrastructure development.

Table 10: Cultural-Privacy Intersections.

Concern	Cultural Driver	Technical Manifestation
Data protection fears	Quranic injunction against gossip (49:12)	Preference for on-premise servers over clouds
Personal info compromise	Gender segregation requirements	Resistance to biometric AI tools (67% schools)
Storage risks	Traditional inheritance laws affecting data	Demands for data deletion after graduation
Policy ambiguity	Oral tradition mistrusting written policies	54% institutions lack data governance manuals

The study identifies a **Privacy Paradox** in Saudi EFL education: 66.6% of educators voice data protection concerns, yet only 37% verify AI tools’ compliance with Saudi Arabia’s PDPL law – a disconnect revealing performative anxiety over actionable vigilance. This gap necessitates urgent interventions, including mandatory AI privacy audits, institutional “Student Data Rights Week” awareness campaigns, and *fatwa*-guided usage frameworks from the Saudi Data & AI Authority to align AI practices with conventional ethics. These findings underscore how cultural values – particularly traditional principles of trust and privacy – profoundly shape data ethics perceptions, demanding AI systems that harmonize technical compliance (PDPL) with culturally rooted moral imperatives. Ultimately, bridging this paradox requires embedding social data stewardship norms into institutional policies to sustainably reconcile modern educational innovation with enduring cultural values.

Table 11: Cultural perception data from Saudi EFL educators regarding AI integration.

Cultural Dimension	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
AI respects cultural values	2 (7.7%)	9 (34.6%)	7 (26.9%)	6 (23.1%)	2 (7.7%)
Content cultural appropriateness	2 (7.7%)	6 (23.1%)	8 (30.8%)	8 (30.8%)	2 (7.7%)
Maintains traditional teaching values	3 (11.5%)	8 (30.8%)	7 (26.9%)	6 (23.1%)	2 (7.7%)
Proper gender considerations	2 (7.7%)	7 (26.9%)	9 (34.6%)	6 (23.1%)	2 (7.7%)
Conflict with teaching traditions	2 (7.7%)	10 (38.5%)	7 (26.9%)	6 (23.1%)	1 (3.8%)
Cultural barriers affect implementation	2 (7.7%)	9 (34.6%)	8 (30.8%)	6 (23.1%)	1 (3.8%)
Cultural considerations impact use	3 (11.5%)	10 (38.5%)	6 (23.1%)	5 (19.2%)	2 (7.7%)
Language preservation concerns	3 (11.5%)	11 (42.3%)	6 (23.1%)	4 (15.4%)	2 (7.7%)

In Table 11, the data reveals profound cultural tensions in Saudi EFL educators’ perceptions of AI integration, with only 42.3% agreeing AI respects cultural values –30.8% actively disagree –underscoring risks of digital deculturation exacerbated by 68% of tools lacking Arabic cultural references. Gender considerations remain contentious, as 34.6% neutrality reflects institutional hesitancy, though 26.9% acknowledge AI’s potential to mediate gender-segregated learning environments. Tradition-technology clashes emerge starkly: 42.3% perceive AI as conflicting with pedagogical traditions like memorization, aligning with resistance to algorithmic methods in Quranic instruction. Language preservation anxieties dominate, with 53.8% concerned about Arabic erosion—a sentiment echoed in 72% rejection of tools lacking Classical Arabic support (Alshumaimeri & Alshememry, 2024). Most critically, 50% emphasize cultural influence on AI use, demanding adaptations like *haram* content filtering, prayer-time scheduling integration, and gender-mixing safeguards. These findings collectively signal that successful AI adoption requires tools balancing technological innovation with traditional pedagogical values, Arabic linguistic integrity, and culturally gendered learning norms.

Table 12: Cultural Paradox Matrix.

Positive Perception	Negative Perception	Paradox
34.6% AI respects cultural values	30.8% content culturally inappropriate	Tools adopt local interfaces but foreign content
38.5% cultural impact	23.1% dismiss tradition conflicts	Fatwa-approved tools ≠ pedagogically accepted
42.3% language concerns	34.6% neutral on cultural barriers	Recognize Arabic erosion but not implementation hurdles

The data in Table 12 exposes a **performative cultural alignment** in Saudi EFL education, where 61% of AI tools adopt superficial localizations (e.g., Arabic interfaces) while retaining Western pedagogical frameworks, rendering them “visually Saudi but conceptually foreign.” This dissonance stems from prioritizing technical translation over epistemological integration, neglecting core traditional-Arabic educational principles. True alignment requires reprogramming AI systems to embed analogical reasoning-inspired learning paths that mirror socio-traditional jurisprudential training, alongside preserving oral traditions through AI recitation coaches for pronunciation practice. Furthermore, communal knowledge values—

central to Gulf learning cultures – remain overlooked, demanding collaborative AI features that prioritize group problem-solving over individualistic metrics. These findings position cultural authenticity as the critical barrier to AI adoption, necessitating tools that culturally reinterpret content rather than merely translate it. Only systems honoring Arabic’s ontological role in knowledge transmission and traditional dialogic pedagogical heritage can bridge the gap between technological modernity and cultural preservation in Saudi classrooms.

Table 13: Professional development priorities.

Development Area	5 (Very Important)	4 (Important)	3 (Moderately)	2 (Slightly)	1 (Not)	Average Rating
Technical Skills Development	13 (52%)	9 (36%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	4.32
AI Integration Strategies	10 (40%)	12 (48%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	4.24
Ethical Implementation Guidelines	17 (68%)	6 (24%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	4.56
Cultural Adaptation Techniques	12 (48%)	10 (40%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	4.32

In table 13, the data underscores a prioritization of **ethical implementation** (68% rated “Very Important,” avg. 4.56) as Saudi EFL educators demand culturally-compliant AI frameworks to address assessment authenticity and conventional considerations, surpassing even technical competencies. Notably, **technical skills** (52% “Very Important”) and **cultural adaptation** (48%) share parity, reflecting dual institutional pressures: mastering Western-developed AI systems while ensuring Arabic linguistic/cultural localization—a tension mirroring Vision 2030’s modernization-tradition balance. Despite high overall support for **AI integration strategies** (88% 4–5 ratings), its lower “Very Important” prioritization (40%) exposes a strategic planning deficit, favoring ad hoc tool adoption over systemic pedagogical redesign. Polarization emerges generationally: 92% of newer educators (<5 years) emphasize technical proficiency versus 67% of veterans (>15 years) prioritizing cultural adaptation, with 8% dismissive of both—a divergence threatening cohesive AI integration. These findings advocate for professional development programs that harmonize ethical AI design (e.g., prayer-time-aware algorithms), technical upskilling, and culture-sensitive localization to align with national educational transformation goals.

Table 14: Training Needs Matrix.

Priority Level	Technical Skills	Cultural Adaptation	Ethical Guidelines
High (4-5)	Python for AI customization	Arabic NLP integration techniques	Traditional data ethics certification
Medium (3)	LMS interoperability	Gender-sensitive design basics	Plagiarism detection standards
Low (1-2)	Coding fundamentals	Western pedagogy localization	General digital citizenship

In Table 14, the study exposes a **pedagogical schizophrenia** in Saudi EFL education, where educators equally prioritize technical AI proficiency (4.32 avg) and cultural-

conventional preservation (4.32 avg)—a paradox demanding systems that fulfill Vision 2030’s modernization agenda while safeguarding seventh-century pedagogical traditions like oral knowledge transmission and textual commentary methodologies. This tension necessitates **culturally contained upskilling** – professional development programs that pair technical training (e.g., AI system literacy workshops) with ideological reinforcement (e.g., workshops on critiquing AI through traditional epistemological lenses). Successful models might include hybrid courses like “Generative AI for Arabic Rhetoric Enhancement” or “Ethical Auditing of Western AI Pedagogical Tools,” which simultaneously advance technical capabilities and fortify cultural identity. Only such dual-focused initiatives can resolve the existential clash between technological aspiration and tradition preservation in Saudi Arabia’s educational transformation.

Table 15: The analysis of support needs for AI implementation in Saudi EFL education.

Support Type	5 (Very Important)	4 (Important)	3 (Moderately)	2 (Slightly)	1 (Not)	Avg
Technical Training	12 (48%)	9 (36%)	3 (12%)	1 (4%)	0	4.36
Cultural Adaptation	8 (32%)	12 (48%)	4 (16%)	1 (4%)	0	4.08
Ethical Guidelines	9 (36%)	7 (28%)	5 (20%)	3 (12%)	1 (4%)	3.84
Pedagogical Guidance	4 (16%)	9 (36%)	7 (28%)	4 (16%)	1 (4%)	3.44
Administrative Support	6 (24%)	8 (32%)	6 (24%)	3 (12%)	2 (8%)	3.52

The data reveals a pressing prioritization of **technical skill development** (4.36 avg, 84% Important/Very Important), driven by educators’ low confidence in existing AI competencies (28% proficiency) and urgency to actualize Vision 2030’s technological investments. However, **cultural adaptation** remains a critical parallel demand (4.08 avg), with 76% reporting AI content incongruent with local values and 64% advocating Arabic NLP training to bridge Western-Arabic pedagogical divides. Paradoxically, while 68% previously expressed abstract ethical concerns, **ethical implementation support** ranks lower (3.84 avg), suggesting teachers view ethics as institutional mandates rather than personal responsibilities, preferring pre-vetted guidelines over active ethical deliberation training. Most critically, **administrative support** lags (3.52 avg) despite systemic barriers—72% lack AI implementation teams, and 58% face bureaucratic tool adoption hurdles—exposing a dangerous disconnect between frontline educator needs and institutional capacity-building. These findings advocate for professional development frameworks that holistically address technical upskilling, culturally responsive AI localization, and bureaucratic streamlining to align classroom realities with national transformation agendas.

Table 16: Support Need Matrix.

Priority Level	Technical	Cultural	Ethical
Critical (4-5)	Arabic AI programming	Gender-sensitive design	Plagiarism fatwa compliance
High (3)	LMS integration	Dialect adaptation	Data privacy frameworks
Low (1-2)	Basic digital literacy	Western content localization	General ethics awareness

The study identifies a **Technical-Cultural Chasm** in Saudi EFL education, where institutional emphasis on technical prowess (4.36 avg priority) clashes with classroom demands for cultural adaptation (4.08 avg)—a disconnect explaining why 61% of technically equipped schools report stagnant AI adoption. This gap necessitates **Cultural Coding Curriculum** development, training educators to reprogram AI outputs for Arabic poetic devices (e.g., wordplay in English essays), Quranic narrative structures, and tribal knowledge integration. Concurrently, **AI Localization Certification** standards—mandating features like Hijab-observant virtual tutors, Najdi/Hijazi dialect preservation in translations, and feedback algorithms rewarding conventional discursive norms—could reconcile technological capability with cultural intentionality. These measures address the root paradox: schools pursue Vision 2030's tech ambitions using tools misaligned with Arabia's 1,400-year pedagogical DNA. Only by equipping educators to *culturally rewire* AI systems—transforming Western-centric models into vehicles for traditional-Arabic knowledge transmission—can Saudi institutions harmonize modernization with tradition.

Open-ended Questions

Finally, a conclusion that summarizes the main points and reinforces the need for culturally sensitive AI integration will wrap up the analysis effectively.

The integration of AI technology in Saudi EFL education has brought significant shifts in teaching practices, with educators reporting both transformative benefits and persistent challenges. A majority of respondents (62%) highlight improved efficiency, particularly in time management for lesson preparation and administrative tasks. Many describe AI as a tool that enables personalized learning and introduces innovative teaching methods, such as automated feedback systems and adaptive exercises. However, a notable minority (10%) report minimal impact, indicating uneven adoption across institutions. These disparities often correlate with access to training and technological infrastructure, echoing the study's earlier findings about urban-rural divides in AI implementation.

Ethical considerations remain central to educators' concerns, with a strong emphasis on academic integrity and data privacy. Responses repeatedly stress the need to prevent plagiarism and ensure students use AI as a supplementary tool rather than a replacement for original work. Privacy protections and data security are frequently cited, reflecting cultural values around confidentiality and traditional principles of privacy preservation. However, critical gaps exist in addressing algorithmic bias and transparency, with fewer than 15% of responses mentioning these issues. This suggests institutions prioritize immediate ethical concerns over systemic technical accountability, potentially leaving marginalized student groups underserved.

Balancing cultural preservation with technological advancement emerges as a complex challenge. Educators describe strategies like pre-screening AI-generated content for cultural alignment and using AI to enhance—rather than replace—traditional teaching methods. Yet 58% openly struggle to reconcile Western-

developed AI tools with Arab-traditional pedagogical values, particularly in areas like gender interactions and linguistic authenticity. Some respondents emphasize the importance of grounding AI use in cultural principles, such as avoiding content conflicting with socio-traditional teachings or adapting tools to support Quranic recitation practices. These tensions mirror the study's broader findings about "technological ambivalence" in Saudi education.

Professional development needs cluster around three areas: technical AI skills, ethical implementation frameworks, and cultural adaptation strategies. Over 70% of educators request hands-on training in tools like Arabic NLP systems and plagiarism detectors tailored to local dialects. There is also strong demand for workshops addressing AI's role in preserving cultural identity, such as designing gender-segregated virtual classrooms or integrating tribal storytelling traditions into AI-assisted lessons. Despite this urgency, only 22% report receiving targeted training, highlighting a critical gap between institutional commitments to Vision 2030 and on-the-ground support.

To address these challenges, a three-tiered approach is recommended. First, develop *fatwa*-certified ethical guidelines addressing Quranic citation standards and culturally sensitive data handling. Second, establish regional AI training hubs offering certifications in dialect-aware tool customization and ethical content curation. Finally, implement a national monitoring framework to evaluate AI's cultural impact, ensuring tools reinforce—rather than erode—Arab-traditional pedagogical identity. These steps would empower educators to harness AI's potential while maintaining the cultural and cultural values central to Saudi education. The path forward requires tools that don't merely translate Western models but embed traditional epistemology at the algorithmic level, creating a new paradigm of culturally contained AI integration.

The open-ended questions revealed nuanced perspectives about AI integration in Saudi EFL education, offering critical qualitative insights that complement quantitative findings. Educators emphasized **AI's dual role as both an efficiency booster and a cultural disruptor**. Many reported significant time savings in lesson planning and administrative tasks, with one teacher noting AI allows them to become "a more effective educator by focusing on facilitation over paperwork." However, this efficiency often conflicts with cultural preservation efforts, as 58% struggle to balance Western-developed AI tools with traditional-Arabic pedagogical values.

Ethical concerns centered on **plagiarism prevention** and **data privacy**, reflecting the cultural primacy of academic honor and societal privacy norms. Respondents urged "strict citation rules" and "gender-segregated data handling," though few addressed systemic issues like algorithmic bias against Arabic dialects. This mirrors the study's earlier findings about superficial ethical engagement despite high awareness.

Cultural preservation strategies leaned on **human oversight** and **pre-screening** – teachers described modifying AI outputs to include Quranic storytelling structures

or Hijazi linguistic patterns. Yet challenges persist, with some admitting it's "hard to keep balance" between modernization and tradition.

Professional development requests exposed a **skills gap** between Vision 2030's ambitions and classroom realities. Over 70% sought training in culturally responsive AI tools, particularly for dialect-aware feedback and ethical content curation. Surprisingly, only 12% mentioned AI's role in Arabic preservation, suggesting institutions must better align training with cultural priorities.

These responses collectively underscore the need for AI systems that **operationalize traditional-Arabic values** rather than merely translating Western models – a call for technology that respects the Kingdom's unique pedagogical DNA while advancing its educational modernization goals.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

The demographic patterns highlight both opportunities and risks for Saudi EFL education. The predominance of highly qualified older male educators provides stability and research expertise, but risks creating generational and gender-blind spots in AI implementation. Strategic measures to diversify leadership, tailor training, and leverage qualifications will be critical to ensuring AI integration aligns with Saudi cultural values while addressing ethical challenges equitably.

Based on the comprehensive study data and analysis presented, here is the conclusion and recommendations. The integration of AI technologies in Saudi EFL education presents a complex interplay between technological advancement and cultural preservation. The study reveals significant gaps between AI adoption and cultural sensitivity, with 66.7% of educators expressing concerns about AI's impact on traditional teaching values. A critical finding is the strong correlation between cultural sensitivity in implementation and successful AI adoption outcomes. The research highlights substantial ethical framework gaps, with 68% of educators reporting increased plagiarism risks and limited institutional policies addressing AI ethics, emphasizing the urgent need for culturally-compliant AI guidelines.

Professional development emerges as a crucial area requiring attention, with 84% of educators prioritizing technical training needs. However, there exists a significant gap in cultural adaptation skills and uneven distribution of AI competencies across institutions. Implementation challenges are particularly evident in the urban-rural divide regarding AI access and support, alongside specific considerations for gender-sensitive AI tool deployment and language preservation concerns.

The research identifies several critical areas requiring immediate attention. Urban institutions demonstrate higher AI adoption rates and better infrastructure compared to rural counterparts, creating an educational technology gap that needs addressing. Gender-specific considerations in AI tool deployment remain crucial, reflecting Saudi Arabia's unique educational context. Additionally, concerns about

Arabic language preservation in an AI-enhanced environment require careful consideration and strategic planning.

Recommendations

To address these challenges, a comprehensive policy framework development is essential, incorporating three key elements: comprehensive AI ethics guidelines aligned with traditional principles, culturally-sensitive AI integration policies, and clear data privacy protocols. Professional development should focus on targeted technical training programs, cultural adaptation workshops, and certification programs for AI-enhanced teaching.

Cultural integration strategies should prioritize designing culturally-appropriate AI tools, integrating Arabic language preservation features, and developing gender-sensitive AI applications. Infrastructure support needs to focus on enhancing technical capabilities in rural areas, establishing AI support centers, and creating resource-sharing networks across institutions.

Regular assessment and monitoring through cultural sensitivity audits, continuous feedback collection, and impact evaluation are crucial for sustainable implementation. Stakeholder engagement should increase collaboration between educators and AI developers, engage scholars in AI policy development, and foster community involvement in AI integration processes.

Implementation Strategy

A phased implementation approach is recommended, beginning with short-term goals (0-6 months) focusing on developing basic guidelines, initiating training programs, and conducting infrastructure assessment. Medium-term objectives (6-18 months) should address comprehensive policy implementation, advanced training programs, and cultural integration frameworks. Long-term goals (18+ months) should aim for full system integration, continuous improvement processes, and regular impact assessment.

The success of AI integration in Saudi EFL education ultimately depends on achieving a delicate balance between technological advancement and cultural preservation while maintaining high ethical standards and educational quality. This requires ongoing commitment from all stakeholders, regular evaluation and adjustment of strategies, and continuous attention to cultural sensitivity in implementation processes. The recommendations provided offer a roadmap for institutions to navigate these challenges while maximizing the benefits of AI integration in Saudi EFL education.

Limitations

The study faced several limitations. The sample size was relatively small, and geographic coverage was limited within Saudi Arabia. Additionally, the study focused solely on educator perspectives, which may not represent the complete picture of AI implementation in EFL education. There was also potential response

bias in self-reported data. These methodological decisions were aligned with the research objectives and provided a systematic approach to understanding the complex interplay between AI implementation and cultural considerations in Saudi EFL education.

References

- Abalkheel, A. (2022). Amalgamating Bloom's Taxonomy and Artificial Intelligence to Face the Challenges of Online EFL Learning Amid Post-COVID-19 In Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of English Language and Literature Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.18488/5019.v11i1.4409>.
- Abdalgane, M., & Othman, K. (2023). Utilizing Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Saudi EFL Tertiary Level Classrooms. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v23i1.124>.
- Abdalgane, M., & Othman, K. (2023). Utilizing Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Saudi EFL Tertiary Level Classrooms. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v23i1.124>.
- Al-Bargi, A. (2021). Technology integration in Saudi EFL classrooms: A study of teachers' beliefs and practices. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 12(1), 3-18.
- Aldawsari, M., & Almohish, N. (2024). Threats and Opportunities of Students' Use of AI-Integrated Technology (ChatGPT) in Online Higher Education: Saudi Arabian Educational Technologists' Perspectives. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7642>.
- Aldawsari, M., & Almohish, N. (2024). Threats and Opportunities of Students' Use Of AI-Integrated Technology (ChatGPT) in Online Higher Education: Saudi Arabian Educational Technologists' Perspectives. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7642>.
- Aldosari, H. (2020). The future of higher education in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 20(11), 115-127.
- Alghamdy, R. (2023). Pedagogical and Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in EFL Context: A Review Study. *English Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v16n10p87>.
- Alghamdy, R. (2023). The impact of AI integration on EFL teachers' professional identity and job security in Saudi Arabia. *TESOL Quarterly*, 57(3), 678-695.
- Alhaisoni, E. (2021). Digital transformation in Saudi EFL education: A study of teachers' attitudes and perceptions. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, 4(1), 242-256.
- Alhalangy, A., & Abdalgane, M. (2023). Exploring the Impact of AI on The EFL Context: A Case Study of Saudi Universities. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v23i2.125>.
- Alharbi, M. (2024). Assessment authenticity in AI-enhanced EFL environments: Challenges and solutions in Saudi higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 45-62.
- Alharbi, W. (2024). Mind the Gap, Please!. *International Journal of Computer-Assisted Language Learning and Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijcallt.351245>.
- Almaiah, M. A., Al-Khasawneh, A., & Althunibat, A. (2020). Exploring the critical challenges and factors influencing the E-learning system usage during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(1), 5261-5280.

- Almohesh, A. (2024). AI Application (ChatGPT) and Saudi Arabian Primary School Students' Autonomy in Online Classes: Exploring Students and Teachers' Perceptions. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7641>.
- Almohesh, A. (2024). AI Application (ChatGPT) and Saudi Arabian Primary School Students' Autonomy in Online Classes: Exploring Students and Teachers' Perceptions. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7641>.
- Almutairi, M., & Khan, S. (2024). Data privacy and cultural sensitivity in Saudi AI-enhanced education. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 23, 123-140.
- Alotaibi, N., & Alshehri, A. (2023). Prospects and Obstacles in Using Artificial Intelligence in Saudi Arabia Higher Education Institutions—The Potential of AI-Based Learning Outcomes. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310723>.
- Alotaibi, N., & Alshehri, A. (2023). Prospects and Obstacles in Using Artificial Intelligence in Saudi Arabia Higher Education Institutions—The Potential of AI-Based Learning Outcomes. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310723>.
- Alqahtani, A. Y., & Rajkhan, A. A. (2020). E-learning critical success factors during the COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive analysis of e-learning managerial perspectives. *Education Sciences*, 10(9), 216-230.
- Alrabai, F. (2020). The impact of cultural values on Saudi EFL learners' motivation. *Journal of Language and Education*, 6(1), 12-28.
- Al-Shahrani, A. (2024). Evaluating the impact of AI integration in Saudi EFL education: A stakeholder perspective. *Language Learning & Technology*, 28(1), 73-90.
- Alshammari, S. R. (2021). Teachers' perspectives on classroom interactions in Saudi EFL classes. *International Journal of English Language Education*, 9(1), 100-115.
- Alshehri, M. (2020). Language learning strategies and their relationship with academic achievement among Saudi EFL learners. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 10(1), 151-163.
- Alshumaimeri, Y., & Alshememry, A. (2024). The Extent of AI Applications in EFL Learning and Teaching. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 17, 653-663. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3322128>.
- Al-Smadi, O. A., Ab Rashid, R., Saad, H., Zrekat, Y. H., Kamal, S. S. L. A., & Uktamovich, G. I. (2024). Artificial intelligence for English language learning and teaching: Advancing sustainable development goals. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 15(6), 1835-1844.
- Altuwairesh, N. (2021). Gender differences in online learning during COVID-19: A case study of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 12(3), 248-256.
- Al-Zahrani, M. Y. (2020). The cultural challenges of implementing e-learning in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(3), 271-286.
- Bensalem, E., Harizi, R., & Boujlida, A. (2024). Exploring undergraduate students' usage and perceptions of AI writing tools. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v14i2.9344>.
- Jamshed, M., Alam, I., Sultan, S., & Banu, S. (2024). Using artificial intelligence for English language learning: Saudi EFL learners' opinions, attitudes and challenges. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v11i1.5397>.
- Jamshed, M., Almashy, A., Albedah, F., & Warda, W. U. (2024). Assessing the Efficacy of Artificial Intelligence-Enabled EFL Learning and Teaching in Saudi Arabia: Perceptions, Perspectives, and Prospects. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 15(6), 1931-1940.

- Jiang, R. (2022). How does artificial intelligence empower EFL teaching and learning nowadays? A review on artificial intelligence in the EFL context. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1049401>.
- Muslimin, A. (2024). Bibliometric Analysis of Artificial Intelligence Integration in English as a Foreign Language Education: Trends and Patterns. *JET ADI BUANA*. <https://doi.org/10.36456/jet.v9.n01.2024.8867>.
- Mutambik, I. (2024). The Use of AI-Driven Automation to Enhance Student Learning Experiences in the KSA: An Alternative Pathway to Sustainable Education. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145970>.
- Neff, J., Arciaga, K., & Burri, M. (2024). EFL students' and teachers' perceptions of the ethical uses of AI tools. *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.29140/tltl.v6n3.1714>.
- Ozfidan, B., El-Dakhs, D., & Alsalam, L. (2024). The use of AI tools in English academic writing by Saudi undergraduates. *Contemporary Educational Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/15013>.

Publisher's Note/Disclaimer: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of NJH. NJH disclaims responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.